(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)
	<u>-</u>	
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
Part III.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part II.		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Cialitis discussed.		
Claims discussed:	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
□ Telephonic     □ Video Conference     □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ App	oplicant's representative)	
Date of Interview: <u>6 October 2005</u> Type of Interview:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Time:	
(2) <u>Daniel Sherman</u> .	(4)	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)	
All Participants:	Status of Application	
	David A. Lambertson	1636
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/717,641 Examiner	GERRARD ET AL.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A voice message was left with Applicant's representative regarding the status of the case. The message indicated that no reply was filed in response to an Office Action, and that the case was abandoned. Applicant's representative provided no further communication that a response was filed in the instant application.